

## EAST RIDING COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP

### MINUTES – 1 July 2019

Room 3, County Hall, Beverley

#### Attendance:

Paul Abbott (PJA)	Head of Housing, Transportation and Public Protection
Cllr John Dennis (JD)	Portfolio Holder for Community Safety
Darren Downs (Chair) (DD)	Chief Superintendent North Bank Policing – Humberside Police
Sian Broughton (SB)	ERVAS CEO
Debbie Fagan (DF)	Office of PCC
Kate Munson (KM)	Director of National Probation – Humberside
Dan Meeke (DM)	Humberside Fire & Rescue – Service Delivery Manager & Community Safety
Jackie Green (JG)	Senior Probation Officer – Humberside Probation
Kevin Hall (KH)	Director – ERYC Children and Families Services
Max Hough (MEH)	Crime and Disorder Reduction Manager
Charlotte Hetherington (CH)	CSP Strategic Review Officer
Tony Margetts (TM)	ERYC Substance Misuse Commissioning Manager
Nick Middleton (NM)	Chief Executive Officer – SMILE Foundation
Shelley Goodinson (SG)	Service Manager – Domestic Abuse Safeguarding Partnership
Russell Wenn (RW)	NHS East Riding of Yorkshire CCG
Sarah Clinch	NHS East Riding of Yorkshire CCG

No.	Item	Member
1.	<b>Welcome, introductions and apologies</b> DM welcomed everyone to the meeting. There were no apologies.	<b>DM</b>
2.	<b>Minutes from the previous meeting</b> The minutes and actions from the meeting held on 18 March 2019 were reviewed and agreed as an accurate record.	<b>DM</b>

**3. Election of new Chair** **DM**  
Darren Downs was duly elected as Chair of the Community Safety Partnership.  
DM will continue as Vice-Chair.

**4. Finance report and projects update** **CH**  
CH updated on the following Community Safety Fund bids:

- i) Not in Our Community (NIOC) £18,750 which prompted extensive discussion about how best to tackle Child Criminal Exploitation (CCE) and Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE).
- ii) Migrant Community Cohesion Bid for £26,846. This is a one year funding bid which if approved gave the Partnership a balance of £543.

Views – TM pointed out the wider engagement issues within the town that were not covered by the bid. Would be useful to have a look at Goole in more detail and with more emphasis on local challenges in that area.

Also some questions about the Docks etc.

DF – pointed out that very few organisations had a wide understanding of issues in Goole and Old Goole.

PJA did provide some financial support to the Courtyard to deliver older priorities fund (this was overseen by Policy & Performance and other local organisations). It was known as the Migrant Impact Fund.

CH reported that it seemed to be a good project placed in rather an inappropriate location.

There was little information about reporting and accountability.

It was suggested that the CSP have a sub group locally which could provide accountability and reporting.

The CSP suggested collectively that it could find the evidence base as a partnership then commission a similar project with **our** objectives. This could be done through existing project work in relation to building datasets.

This project is not really a perfect fit for this community.

The Chairman agreed, but summarised by asking a question about whether or to what extent the project could deliver all the objectives within the 'plan' given that many

organisations have failed to completely solve all the challenges outlined in the bid. The deliverables seemed overambitious and needed sharpening up to cut down areas of delivery.

PJA – suggested that we could capture the outcomes in OBA session and then use the outcome session to ‘task out’ the issues to key members.

RW – Could this project be asked to deliver *part of the OBA* so that chunks become manageable?

PJA – this organisation has good integration and traction within Goole – Youth Offer, Charity Shop, MoW, networks in places.

DF asked whether the CSP could set aside some funding money for this project – Group agreed to retain some flexibility for this project.

**Agreed** – Group agreed to retain some financial flexibility for this project.

iii) Contextual Safeguarding Conference. This was for **£3587**. Evaluation in accordance with the agreed criteria resulted in a score of 7.

**Agreed** – The bid was not supported.

iv) CSE Post conference work. This was for **£8,331**. Evaluation in accordance with the agreed criteria resulted in a score of 1.

**Agreed** – The bid was not supported.

CH reported on the following **Crime Reduction Fund** bids:

- Beverley Cherry Tree Community Centre - £6451.00
- Almond Boxing Academy - £12,637.00
- Hedon Town Council - £955.00

**Agreed** – all the CRF bids were approved.

## 5. **Update on recommendations from CSP Strategic Review**

**CH**

CH provided a verbal update on the implementation of recommendations from the CSP review. It was noted that there had been a significant improvement in engagement by all parties and all members were commended for their renewed enthusiasm, with acknowledgment given to the importance of engagement at the CSP plan OBA day, scheduled for 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2019.

CH advised that there was a lot of work being carried out behind the scenes, including activity to recruit a CSP administrator and developing clear lines of communication and responsibility between the CSP, Safeguarding Children's Partnership and Safeguarding Adults Boards.

Public facing work would need to be completed once the CSP plan had been created. From this point as a Board the CSP would need to address matters such as structure and governance, which would require further engagement by all partners.

**6. Data Review**

**DD/CH**

DP was unclear about role of Strategic Intelligence Board – He outlined concerns about duplication in relation to data collection. Partnership staff have recently been developing some work on indicators for the CSP on the indicator set – it is a proposal that is being developed by Gareth Hughes and Max Hough with Julie Gibson and Joanne Gale.

“Activities Planner” revisits a calendar that used to help with planning some time ago – it sought to gather up relevant crime info to anticipate and predict hotspot issues.

**Agreed. Noted** – report / update on data progress at next meeting.

**7. OBA Priority setting activities**

**DF/CH/  
RW/DD**

“Engage ER Group”

Rather than have separate groups it is to share key messages and RWB mentioned that there are two already and probably more consultations out there.

RWB was of the view that multiple groups required rationalisation.

DD led a discussion which emphasised the importance of simplicity in communications and joining agencies together effectively.

DP is looking at how CSP joins up effectively in partnership. Also, how effectively we *share* information – email, 365, Notes, other shareable platforms, shared workspace etc.

**Agreed.** Incorporate discussions into future Board work on developing communication strategies.

**DD/CH**

The Board also discussed having a strategic overview of the partnerships and agreed it would be useful to have ward data supplied by DD.

DD confirmed that ward data was available monthly but was also keen on developing more sophisticated data to assist partners.

PJA pleased that there is real information being generated and going to CSP meetings.

**Agreed** – DD to provide ward level data to CH and relevant others when it becomes available.

## 8. **Bridlington Early Intervention Project**

**PJA**

### **Aims:**

Set up to enable transparency in developing projects with additional funding streams.

Summarised the information that is captured in the tables in the report.

Improvements have been made in mental health and the joint working has assisted with this

A 'client centred' approach is working well Bridlington.

The discussion moved to a potential expansion of "early intervention" principles to the Goole area. The Early Intervention report would therefore be a general intervention Board – not one focused on Bridlington.

**Agreed** – The CSP approved the decision to move towards a general intervention board rather than a bespoke Bridlington one.

## 9. **Update from Humberside Fire and Rescue - Inspection**

**DM**

HM Inspector inspected HFRS:

- Service responds well
- Efficient service
- Strong values
- Staff take H&S seriously

**BUT**

- Low female staff count
- Needs to making every contact count and needed to challenge itself as an organisation more
- The HFRS was working towards improving its technical response to crime investigations

**Agreed** – the report was noted.

## 10. **Update from Humberside Police – Inspection**

**DD**

Good improvements have been made – more details were available on the HMIC website.

**Agreed** – noted.

**11. Organised Crime Group (OCGs)**

**DD**

DD summarised the current position and gave a snapshot relating to County Lines, firearms and organised robbery/shoplifting.

As a force, Humberside is trying to pull together to tackle organised criminality. Priorities are as follows:

Priority (A)

**How do County Lines operate?** – where is the point of vulnerability and how do groups identify vulnerability?

Priority (B)

**Training and education** is the other priority – providing improved agency knowledge about how OCGs gain control of an area.

Educate also about how children ‘take in’ the surround area(s) to stay safe in positions with the presence of gangs. This information can be used later to assure other groups within an education setting.

**Agreed** – noted.

**12. Briefing papers/items from CSP sub-groups and priority areas**

(i) Reducing Reoffending – KM updated under “changes to probation services”

**TM**

**Agreed** – Noted

(ii) Domestic Violence and Abuse – Chief Executive & others  
PJA explained that the Council has also provided funding to tie together ‘wicked issues’ such as Child Slavery, Child Criminal Exploitation and Children. Also relevant for this partnership to examine how data is generated for the CSP usage.

**SG**

**Agreed** - it was agreed that the next meeting of the CSP in September looked at how the assurance of this Strategic Domestic Abuse Board sub-group of the CSP may take place.

(iii) PREVENT

**PJA**

PJA presented a report on current PREVENT issues, making several recommendations.

The CSP was asked to:

- Note the findings of the audit
- Approve the suggested training arrangements
- Approve the recommended referral pathway

**CH**

- Monitor training that is undertaken in relation to PREVENT
- Provide assurance that recommendations have been actioned

**Agreed** – the CSP approved these recommendations – update at future CSP meeting.

(iv) Anti-Social Behaviour

**Nigel  
Brignall**

DF explained that there was a need to have more visibility for small projects in terms of the Board's overview. This can be covered by the upcoming 'showcase' event. (DF).

**Agreed** – noted, incorporate into the 'showcase event' being organised by Debbie Fagan.

### **13. Any other business**

#### Funded Projects

**DF**

See above (13iv) – DF is organising a showcase day for these.

#### Changes to Probation Services

Covered under item with "inspections". Strong in many areas. Two areas requiring improvement – moving to a national recruitment model.

Increased number of POs to be deployed within new model of delivery

JG and KM pursuing a new model of delivery to make the circumstances very much "business as usual"

Provision will be merged under one director.

'Professionalisation' of the service is a big priority for the merged services.

TM welcomed these local commissioning developments.

**Agreed - Noted**

### **14. Date and time of next meetings**

30 September 2019 – 9:00am – Room 1 County Hall, Beverley

16 December 2019 – 9:00am – Room 1 County Hall, Beverley

16 March 2020 – 9:00am – Room 1 County Hall, Beverley