

MINUTES of the meeting of the East Riding Community Safety Partnership held on Monday 25 September 2017 at 9.30am in County Hall, Beverley

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| PRESENT Members | – | Cllr Shaun Horton (Chair) Paul Abbott Chief Superintendent Ward Tony Margetts Kate Munson Amy Gilbert Kevin Hall Marie Chappell Sian Broughton Robbie Walker-Brown Neil Griffiths | East Riding of Yorkshire Council Portfolio Holder East Riding of Yorkshire Council Humberside Police East Riding Public Health National Probation Service Humberside, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire Community Rehabilitation Company East Riding Safeguarding Childrens Board East Riding Safeguarding Adults Board East Riding Voluntary Action Service Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner Clinical Commissioning Groups |
| Also in attendance | in | Superintendent Gadd Gilda Nunez Nigel Brignall Jackie McCafferty Roxanne Wood | Humberside Police East Riding Public Health East Riding of Yorkshire Council Two Ridings Community Foundation Two Ridings Community Foundation |
| Apologies for Absence | for | Olwen Fisher Allen Cunningham | Clinical Commissioning Groups Humberside Fire and Rescue Service |
| | | | |
| | | | Action |
| 1. | | WELCOME – The Chair welcomed all partners to the meeting. | |
| 2. | | MINUTES RESOLVED: that the minutes of the last meeting held on 26 June 2017 be accepted as a correct record. | |
| 3. | | MATTER ARISING FROM THE MINUTES – Letter to Community Rehabilitation Company – Partners were informed that the letter had not yet been drafted. | Max Hough |
| 4. | | MEMBERSHIP / REVISIONS TO CONSTITUTION – Paul Abbott presented a report setting out proposed changes to the constitution of the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) and minor amendments to the membership. The constitution had been amended to reflect additional responsibility to | |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| | <p>make decisions to allocate grants, together with other minor procedural changes and was submitted for partners' consideration.</p> <p>The representative from the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) was currently an Observer, as agreed with the former Commissioner, and the Partnership considered whether this status should be changed to that of an invited member. The report also clarified membership from the Health sector in that a representative from the Clinical Commissioning Groups in the East Riding was the Responsible Authority and Public Health was an invited member.</p> <p>Partners were also informed that the CSP had operated in its current format for a number of years and the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) was very keen to reinvigorate CSPs across the Humber area. Partners acknowledged that this was a good time to conduct a review of the CSP, including membership, the role of the CSP and each partner and how other partners may be able to contribute to enhancing community safety in the East Riding. The OPCC had indicated that they would be willing to support a time limited post to conduct this review.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that a representative from the Clinical Commissioning Groups in the East Riding be confirmed as a Responsible Authority;</p> <p>b) the East Riding Public Health be confirmed as an invited member;</p> <p>c) that the representative from the OPCC become an invited member rather than an observer;</p> <p>d) that the revised constitution, as attached at Appendix A to the minutes, be adopted;</p> <p>e) that a proposal be submitted to the next meeting on how the review of the CSP should take place.</p> | Nigel Brignall |
| 5. | ELECTION OF CHAIR | |
| | RESOLVED: that Councillor Horton be elected Chair of the CSP until the June 2018 meeting. | |
| 6. | ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIR | |
| | RESOLVED: That Chief Superintendent Ward be elected Vice-Chair of the CSP until the June 2018 meeting. | |
| 7. | CRIME REDUCTION FUND | |
| | No declarations of interest were made by partners with regard to any of the applications to the Crime Reduction Fund. | |

Partners were reminded of the criteria for making grants from the Fund, together with the options for decisions. £93,000 was available to be awarded in 2017/18.

Jackie McCafferty presented the following applications:

| | | |
|--|---|------------|
| The Ashes | Funding for a pilot of a temporary skate facility with training and equipment for the young people of Howden. | £5,280.00 |
| TogetherWomen Project [Yorkshire & the Humber] | Funding towards being able to extend the Together Women service in to the East Riding, enabling us to work more effectively and efficiently with women who are at risk of offending or to prevent re-offending. | £9,433.00 |
| Gilberdyke & District Leisure Association | Funding to provide CCTV around the playing fields to reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour. | £7,700.00 |
| REAL Hull & East Riding | Funding to run workshops in schools/colleges across East Riding, to educate young people on the dangers of taking new psychoactive substances. | £10,000.00 |
| Crimestoppers Trust | Funding to be spent on the delivery of crime reduction campaigns on the issues of hidden harms in the Bridlington area. It will part fund the salary of the regional manager to deliver these. | £10,000.00 |
| Hornsea Town Council | Funding to provide a new, hard wearing, modern, concrete skate park facility in Hornsea town centre. | £10,000.00 |
| Hornsea Youth Group | Funding to improve the interior of the youth club, making it more welcoming to engage young people and divert them from anti-social behaviour activities. | £10,000.00 |
| Eternal Benefits | Funding to recruit, train, and develop a team of at least 5 people, who will live and work in the community for up to one year on a voluntary basis. | £10,000.00 |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 7.1 | <p><u>The Ashes</u> – Partners commented that the proposal was due to run during winter months in the daylight hours on a weekend which was not felt to be at the time when anti social behaviour took place. However it was felt that this was a good project to be run on a pilot basis as this would also generate useful information for the applicant to plan for the Summer months.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the application for £5,280 from The Ashes be approved.</p> | |
| 7.2 | <p><u>TogetherWomen</u> – Partners acknowledged that this was a good application and the group had a good reputation as an effective service provider. Specific positive comments were made as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The application supported Police objectives; • The National Probation Service had offered them use of their premises in Bridlington; • The project must work alongside DISC; • The project would support the Bridlington South Early Intervention project; • The project should link with existing projects delivered by the voluntary sector in Bridlington. <p>RESOLVED: a) that the application for £9,433 from TogetherWomen be approved;</p> <p>b) that Together Women be recommended to make contact with Sergeant Reed, head of the Bridlington South Early Intervention project and DISC;</p> <p>c) that the project be asked to demonstrate effective partnership working in its evaluation.</p> | <p>Jackie McCafferty</p> <p>Jackie McCafferty</p> |
| 7.3 | <p><u>Gilberdyke and District Leisure Association</u> – Partners noted that over the past eight months there was an average of one incident per month and it was felt that, before a decision on the grant was made, further evidence was required of what action had already taken place and what could be done to assist in tackling the problem in the future. Superintendent Gadd stated that the Police already had cameras which could be fitted on a temporary basis, if required.</p> <p>It was also acknowledged that other funding streams were available in the area.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the application be deferred to the next meeting to enable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inspector Lovell to contact the applicant and agree what action could be taken to address the behaviour that is taking place; | <p>Supt. Gadd</p> |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------------------------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant to seek other contributory funding towards the cost of the project. | Jackie McCafferty |
| 7.4 | <p><u>REAL Hull and East Riding</u> – Partners commented that the applicant appeared not to have carried out any consultations with other similar service providers. There were already plans to deliver projects in schools throughout the East Riding and partners were informed that Novel Psychoactive Substances (NPS) were not the drugs causing most concern in East Riding schools. The use of NPS were of concern to the Clinical Commissioning Group as there had been an increase of the number of people coming into treatment.</p> <p>The Police already had a safer schools project and partners were encouraged to link any school based work into this project.</p> <p>In summary, partners felt that the applicant had not made connections with existing and planned activities and would lead to duplication of service provision.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the application be refused and the applicant be encouraged to get involved with other similar projects.</p> | All |
| 7.5 | <p><u>Crimestoppers</u> – Partners reported on existing work taking place in Bridlington to encourage the reporting of crime, including work with Crimestoppers. 20,000 leaflets had recently been ordered by Humberside Police in relation to the reporting of domestic abuse.</p> <p>Partners felt that the application appeared to lack detail about local issues and did not recognise work which was already ongoing. Humberside Police already had a Crimestoppers Liaison Officer.</p> <p>Nigel Brignall stated that Regional Manager from Crimestoppers had recently made contact with all four CSP Managers in the Humber region requesting to demonstrate the work Crimestoppers had been doing and how she felt they could further support initiatives and activities to tackle and reduce crime across the Humber. Partners felt that this should be presented to the Partnership Manager who could then give feedback to the CSP.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that the application be refused;</p> <p>b) that Nigel Brignall meet with Crimestoppers and submit information to the next meeting on how they could further support initiatives and activities to tackle and reduce crime in the East Riding.</p> | Nigel Brignall |
| 7.6 | <p><u>Hornsea Town Council</u> Partners noted that this was a large project and felt that it was unclear where the Town Council was with other funding applications.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the application be deferred until the next meeting,</p> | |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| | pending clarity on the applicant's other funding applications. | |
| 7.7 | <p><u>Hornsea Youth Group</u> – Partners acknowledged that the project was a good idea however felt that there were alternative ways to deliver it. These included the use of offenders doing their community payback, subject to the applicant providing the materials. Humberside Police or ERVAS may also be able to assist the applicant in getting the project delivered.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that the application be refused;</p> <p>b) that the applicant be encouraged to apply to the Community Rehabilitation Company to request that offenders doing their community payback help with the project;</p> <p>c) that Two Ridings confirm with the applicant that they can share contact details with ERVAS and Humberside Police.</p> | <p>Jackie McCafferty</p> <p>Jackie McCafferty</p> |
| 7.8 | <p><u>Eternal Benefits</u> – This application was for service provision in Hull, not the East Riding.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the application be refused.</p> | |
| 8. | <p>CRIME REDUCTION FUND – CONSIDERATION OF FUTURE APPLICATIONS</p> <p>Partners discussed options to consider future applications, noting that they required due considerations and that there would be more than double the amount in future cycles. All partners had actively participated in discussions and were keen to be involved in the decision making process in the future. It was felt that a separate meeting was necessary involving all partners, prior to the quarterly Community Safety Partnership to give due consideration to each application, making a recommendation to the Partnership who would make the final decision.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that a Funding Sub-Group be established to meet two weeks prior to each Community Safety Partnership;</p> <p>b) that all partners be invited to send a representative to the Sub-Group;</p> <p>c) that the terms of reference of the Sub-Group be 'to consider applications to the Crime Reduction Fund and make recommendations to the Community Safety Partnership';</p> <p>d) that meetings of the Sub-Group be held as follows:</p> | |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monday 4 December 2017 at County Hall, Beverley; • Monday 5 March 2017 at Beverley Police Station, Sessions House, Beverley. | |
| 9. | COMMUNITY SAFETY FUND | |
| | <p>Nigel Brignall informed partners that the Council's Cabinet had approved the Community Safety Fund grant scheme for allocation of phase two funding provided to the CSP by the PCC. He reminded partners of the process to be followed when applying to the scheme, how applications would be scored and the role of the Partnership. One application had been received for consideration at this meeting.</p> | |
| NOTE | <i>Paul Abbott and Kevin Allen declared an interest in the application referred to in minute 9.1 and took no part in voting.</i> | |
| 9.1 | <p><u>North Bank Channel Co-ordinator</u> – An application had been received from the East Riding of Yorkshire Council for a North Bank Channel Co-ordinator. A copy of the application had been circulated to partners, for their consideration. The application was for £63,245 over a period of three years and three months as a contribution towards this new post. A similar application had / would be made to the CSP in Hull.</p> <p>Superintendent Gadd informed partners of the regional position with regard to Channel and new statutory requirements under The Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015. He stated a job description for the post was currently been drafted and there was a regional feeling that this should be a local authority funded post rather than one funded by the CSP or PCC. Partners commented that the work currently done was funded by the Police and felt the application suggested that costs would simply be transferred to the local authority. It was also acknowledged that whilst this was a new statutory requirement for the local authority, no funding had been identified to enable them to comply. In order for the North Bank arrangement to work successfully, both local authorities must be willing to work together.</p> <p>Partners felt that further work was required and additional information placed in the bid, including on the outcomes. If the work was currently funded by the Police and costs would transfer to the local authority, this would not meet the criteria of the Community Safety Fund. Partners also required further information on how the joint arrangement would work and what commitments Hull City Council had given.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that the application be deferred until the next meeting;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b) that the applicant be requested to provide additional information in the bid, including on the outcomes and on how the joint arrangement would work</p> | Nigel |

| | | |
|------------|--|--|
| | <p>including commitments from Hull City Council;</p> <p>c) that further information be obtained on the regional position.</p> | <p>Brignall</p> <p>Supt. Gadd</p> |
| 11. | <p>EXTERNAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES</p> <p>Partners were informed of examples of external funding opportunities which could be used for projects that could enhance services they provided and contribute to delivering outcomes and priorities of the Partnership.</p> <p>RESOLVED: that the Council's External Funding Team be invited to the next meeting to deliver a 15 minute presentation on community safety / crime reduction funding opportunities for Partners.</p> | <p>Nigel Brignall / Claire Watts</p> |
| 12. | <p>JOINT STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT / STRATEGIC PLAN</p> <p>Partners considered the 2015/16 Joint Strategic Intelligence Assessment (JSIA) together with proposals to complete the 2016/17 Assessment. The proposal required all partners to provide data and commentary on crime figures, interventions and other work which contributed towards delivering priorities set against key themes in the 2011 to 2016 CSP Strategic Plan. The JSIA would also include responses from public consultation on what they felt the outcomes and priorities of the CSP should be.</p> <p>This would enable the 2017 to 2021 Strategic Plan to be based on up-to-date data rather than relying on the previous JSIA. The Plan would also be linked to the national Modern Crime Prevention Strategy.</p> <p>A timetable for the completion of the JSIA and Strategic Plan was circulated which, whilst challenging, would enable a Strategic Plan and accompanying Delivery Plans to be submitted to the next meeting, for approval. This timetable was reliant on support from all Partners.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that the 2015/16 JSIA, as submitted, be approved and adopted;</p> <p>b) that the proposal, including timetable, for the 2016/17 JSIA be supported;</p> <p>c) that all partners submit data and commentary to Nigel Brignall by 5 November 2017;</p> <p>d) that Nigel Brignall meet with all partners at the earliest opportunity to identify specific contributions to the JSIA</p> | <p>All</p> <p>Nigel Brignall / All</p> |

| | | |
|------------|--|--|
| | e) that the 2016/17 JSIA. 2017 to 2021 Strategic Plan and accompanying Delivery Plans be submitted to the next meeting, for approval. | Nigel Brignall / Debbie Fagan |
| 13. | <p>PHASE ONE FUNDING PROVIDED BY THE PCC</p> <p>Partners who had been awarded funding at the last meeting using phase one funding allocated to the CSP by the PCC were reminded that they must complete the project evaluation proforma and return it to Nigel Brignall by 20 October 2017. Robbie Walker-Brown emphasised the importance of project evaluation as this was a key requirement of the PCC. He also confirmed the PCC's intention to continue to provide the same level of funding to the CSP throughout his period of office to March 2021.</p> <p>Partners therefore discussed the allocation of phase one funding for 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21. It was felt that an application and allocation process be followed identical to that for the allocation of phase two funding [the Community Safety Fund Grant Scheme]. Partners also discussed whether funding should be allocated for a single year or for more than one year, particularly if it related to salaried staff.</p> <p>RESOLVED: a) that all beneficiaries of phase one funding complete their project evaluation proforma and return it to Nigel Brignall by 20 October 2017;</p> <p>b) that the project evaluations be submitted to the next meeting for consideration and comment;</p> <p>c) that the allocation of phase one funding for 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 be done using the same application and assessment process to the Community Safety Fund grant scheme;</p> <p>d) that applications for phase one funding be submitted to Nigel Brignall by 1 December 2017;</p> <p>e) that applications for phase one funding be submitted to the next meeting;</p> <p>f) that the criteria for phase one funding set by the PCC be re-circulated to all partners.</p> | <p>All</p> <p>Nigel Brignall</p> <p>Nigel Brignall</p> <p>Nigel Brignall</p> |
| 14. | <p>MAPPA ANNUAL REPORT</p> <p>Kate Munson stated that she would present a report to the next meeting on the MAPPA annual report.</p> | Kate Munson |
| 15. | CRC DATA | |

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| | Amy Gilbert informed Partners that the first publicly released data on performance of CRCs would become available on 26 October 2017. Whilst this would be two years old, it was likely to generate significant interest. | |
| 16. | VOLUNTARY SECTOR – SAFEGUARDING Sian Broughton confirmed that ERVAS had recently received funding from the Safeguarding Adults Board to increase safeguarding knowledge throughout the voluntary sector, including training, advice and support for policies and procedures. | |
| 17. | PARTNER UPDATE REPORTS TO FUTURE MEETINGS In view of the new focus of the Partnership the Chair asked that all future update reports be in the format of a short, written, report to be circulated with the agenda. Authors would have the opportunity to highlight key points at the meeting. | All |
| 18. | FUTURE MEETINGS Future meetings would be held at 9.30am on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monday 18 December 2017 • Monday 19 March 2018 in County Hall, Beverley. | |